

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 04-2408

Larry Coffman,

Appellant,

v.

Jay Nixon; Unknown Persons; Dorn
Schoffman; Alan Blake; Jay Englehart;
Dian Flinly; Sheila Light; Marty
Bellew Smith; Nancy Crump; Linda
Mead; Margert Shelton; Diane
McFarland; Mary Weiler; Gerald
Hoefline; Dawn Philips,

Appellees.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Appeal from the United States
District Court for the
Eastern District of Missouri.

[UNPUBLISHED]

Submitted: April 7, 2005
Filed: April 21, 2005

Before MELLOY, McMILLIAN and GRUENDER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Larry Coffman appeals the district court's¹ preservice dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) of his complaint. Following de novo review, see Moore v.

¹The Honorable Donald J. Stohr, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

Sims, 200 F.3d 1170, 1171 (8th Cir. 2000) (per curiam), we conclude the district court was correct that many of the conditions and actions of which Coffman complained did not implicate his federal rights, and his other allegations were insufficient to state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. See Maine v. Thiboutot, 448 U.S. 1, 4 (1980) (§ 1983 encompasses violations of federal statutory as well as constitutional law); Cooper v. Schriro, 189 F.3d 781, 785 (8th Cir. 1999) (per curiam) (pro se pleading must allege specific facts supporting its conclusions). Among its infirmities, Coffman's complaint did not specify which of the many named defendants was responsible for each of the alleged harms. See Martin v. Sargent, 780 F.2d 1334, 1338 (8th Cir. 1985) (to state § 1983 claim, plaintiff must allege defendant was personally involved in or had direct responsibility for incidents that resulted in injury).

Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.
